Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/317,409	LUCAS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jenna-Leigh Befumo	1771
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Jenna-Leigh Befumo</u> .	(3) <u>Phil Wrist</u>	
(2) <u>Cheryl Juska</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 February 2001.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2) applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shows on description	e) ⊠ No.	•
Claim(s) discussed: 55 and 57 - 59		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims_f)☐ was reached.		l Nua
	by Est 1100 Hot reached. 11)	I IN/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: discussed the line and the control of the general reached are the line and the	Proposed Claim Whi	En discribes
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Listuated Histoated Histoat	ents which the examiner agree by of the amendments that wor	ed would render the claim uld render the claims
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Comment of the preparation of the general reached, or any other comments:	ents which the examiner agreed by of the amendments that work that work that work the substance of the See MPEP Section 713.04).	ed would render the claim uld render the claims LAST OFFICE ACTION If a reply to the last Office

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03- 98)